

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/800,346	MANSFIELD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared W. Newton	3634

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Clay Cunningham (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 rejections

Claims discussed:

16,17

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested clarification of the engagement between the body 46 and the pivot arms of the first lock member. The Attorney explained the engagement effectively, but acknowledged that said engagement was difficult to deduce from the drawings. In view of the telephonic interview, the Examiner maintains the 112 rejections in the instant Office Action..